

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/039,441 | 10/29/2001 | Constantine N. Anagnostopoulos | 82395AEK | 1071 | |
| 75 | 590 07/26/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Paul A. Leipold | | | SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R | | |
| Patent Legal Sta | aff | | | | |
| Eastman Kodak | Company | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 343 State Street | | | 1774 | | |
| Rochester, NY | 14650-2201 | | DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | d |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | J |
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/039,441 ANAGNOSTOPOULOS, CONSTANTINE N. | | OS, |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Pamela R. Schwartz | 1774 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | | | S |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of time (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not a proper reply was received on, but it does not a proper reply was received on, but it does not a proper reply was received on, but it does not a proper reply was received on, but it does not a proper reply to the Control of the Control | e of Mailing or Transmission date e of month(s)) which expi | red on | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with | filed Notice of Appeal (with appe | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not co final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (| | fide attempt at a proper reply, to | the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fer from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTG). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, | OL-85). was received on (with a | Certificate of Mailing or Transm | nission dated |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A ba | lance of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if require | ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, h | as not been received. | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37). | required by, and within the three | e-month period set in, the Notice of | of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _ after-the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailin | g or Transmission dated), | which is |
| (b) \square No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed be the applicants. | by the attorney or agent of record | , the assignee of the entire intere | st, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed to 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | by an attorney or agent (acting in | a representative capacity under | 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Into of the decision has expired and there are no allowed | | d because the period for seeking | court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | MAMEL PRIMA | MARCHITANIZ PV EXAMINER | ~ |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20040721